

**From:** Nathan Neulinger  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 9:24am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

My view on the settlement is very simple - microsoft's practices have prevented me as an independent developer from writing software that can either compete or interoperate with servers that they have written.

The settlement does nothing to help this, and in fact, seems to legitimize MS's discrimination against non-microsoft products.

Any solution/settlement/etc. that provides less than the capability for full interoperability (i.e. FULL documentation of ALL microsoft network protocols) is worthless to me.

I'd also agree with the requirement for full documentation of any microsoft file formats. And please don't fall for the "We're changing the format to XML nonsense", cause microsofts idea of changing the file format's to XML looks something like this:

Old Format:

Beginning of File:  
UNDOCUMENTED\_BINARY\_BLOB  
End of File:

New XML Format:

Beginning of File:  
<OfficeDoc Version=XP>UNDOCUMENTED\_BINARY\_BLOB</OfficeDoc>  
End Of File:

Sure, it's completely legal XML, it's also completely worthless since you can't use it.

I am a Systems Administrator for the University of Missouri - Rolla, and while we are a significant microsoft campus, that does not mean we are happy with the situation. We are CONSTANTLY having to develop nasty workarounds to microsoft interoperability problems because we also have a sizable Unix (HP, Sun, and Linux) infrastructure.

I'd hope that whatever solution y'all come up with makes it clear that Microsoft cannot discriminate against free and open-source software just because they are a competitor.

-- Nathan

-----

Nathan Neulinger  
University of Missouri - Rolla  
Computing Services

EMail: [nneul@umr.edu](mailto:nneul@umr.edu)  
Phone: (573) 341-4841  
Fax: (573) 341-4216